

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/463,560	LAMBERT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marianne L. Padgett	1762

All Participants:

(1) Marianne L. Padgett

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(2) Thomas Pavelko

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 24 June 2004

Time: morning

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

16,18,19,20-22

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Messages were left concerning correction by examiner's amendment of noncompliant labels in after final claims and the typographical error of "W light" instead of "UV light" as it was originally presented, never formally changed and referred to in claim 18 from which it depends. .